1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION						
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 3:21-cr-00054-N-1					
4	Plaintiff,)) Dallas, Texas					
5	V. DANIEL REY SETTLE,) March 30, 2022) 9:30 a.m.					
6 7	Defendant.) DEFENDANT'S REQUEST FOR) TERMINATION OF COUNSEL (#45)					
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE IRMA CARRILLO RAMIREZ,						
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE. APPEARANCES:						
11		Andrew J. Briggs					
12	TOT CITE GOVETIMENTE.	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE 1100 Commerce Street, Third Floor					
13		Dallas, TX 75242 (214) 659-8600					
14 15	For the Defendant:	Anthony Paul Eiland REDMOND & EILAND, PLLC 1910 Pacific Avenue, Suite 5050 Dallas, TX 75201 (469) 301-2400					
16							
17	Recorded by:	Marie Gonzales UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
18		1100 Commerce Street Dallas, TX 75242-1003					
19		(214) 753-2167					
20	Transcribed by:	Kathy Rehling 311 Paradise Cove					
21		Shady Shores, TX 76208 (972) 786-3063					
22							
23 24							
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.						

DALLAS, TEXAS - MARCH 30, 2022 - 10:32 A.M. 1 2 THE CLERK: All rise. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. 4 right. We are here in the matter of United States versus 5 Daniel R. Settle. This is Case 3:21-cr-54-N. And before the Court this morning is the Defendant's Request for Termination 6 7 of Counsel. Would counsel please make their appearances for the 8 9 record? 10 MR. BRIGGS: Good morning, Your Honor. Andrew Briggs 11 for the United States. 12 MR. EILAND: Anthony Eiland for the Defendant, Your 13 Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Eiland, have you had a 15 chance to read your client's filing? 16 MR. EILAND: Yes, Your Honor. I have. THE COURT: All right. How would Mr. Settle like to 17 18 proceed on this matter? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to --20 THE COURT: Oh, hold on. 21 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, yes, ma'am. 22 THE COURT: Why don't you have a seat first. 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 24 THE COURT: I was actually asking your attorney. 25 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, you were? I apologize. I

apologize.

THE COURT: And I'm going to ask you to remain seated. For purposes of our proceeding today, I'm going to ask the parties to remain at counsel table to maintain social distancing so we're not sharing the microphone at the podium.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Because the microphones are on counsel table, if you remain seated you're closer to the microphone. Please speak up into them. It is hard to get a good recording with the masks on.

All right. Mr. Settle, you do have an attorney. You are not allowed what we call hybrid representation, where your attorney files some things and you file some things. So the Court was not required to accept your letter.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: My questions are directed to Mr. Eiland at this point. I'll get back to you. But Mr. Eiland, have you had a chance to discuss the letter with your client?

MR. EILAND: No, Your Honor, I haven't had an opportunity to discuss it. I think it was just filed a few days ago. So, but to answer your question, no.

THE COURT: All right. Are you seeking to withdraw as a result of the letter?

MR. EILAND: Your Honor, I have -- I don't -- to be honest, I don't know what else to do in this case. We have

gone back and forth. Most everything that Mr. Settle has asked me to do, I have -- I have done or attempted to do on his behalf.

(Microphone adjusted.)

MR. EILAND: Oh, yes. Okay. Everything that he's, you know, asked me to do, I've either done or attempted to do on his behalf. This case has a lot of material that has required a lot of my attention.

And I know part of the frustration that Mr. Settle has is that I had to file some motions for continuance in the case. And the reason for that is because we were receiving updated discovery, and that discovery were, you know, calls that I had to listen to and to make sure that, you know, especially for the basis of this particular charge, I had to make sure that nothing in there was damaging to my, you know, to our case. And that was part of the frustration that I know Mr. Settle had.

So, I mean, I don't know what else I could have done that was different, you know, done differently in this case in order to appease Mr. Settle, but I know I have to, in order to go to trial on the case, be prepared and make sure that I know every nuance of my case. And so I refused to proceed to trial on the case, not knowing all of the information that's out there.

THE COURT: Just to be sure that I understand, are

you -- are you seeking to withdraw? And I'm sorry to be obtuse, but I think it's better to have it clear on the record.

MR. EILAND: As far as withdrawing from the case, I'm not seeking to withdraw. But if the -- if the differences that Mr. Settle and I -- are differences that cannot be, you know, ironed out here today, then, you know, I don't think that there is any way that I can competently move forward without having Mr. Settle's participation in this matter.

THE COURT: Well, it really would have been helpful to have you had visited with him before the proceeding, as opposed to me having to recess the proceeding to allow you to talk to your client in the middle of the hearing that I set on this.

MR. EILAND: Well, I will say this also, Your Honor.

Mr. Settle and I have, even though I haven't discussed this

with him since he filed this particular motion, I already know

what his frustrations are and I know what his complaints are.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. EILAND: And, you know, and that's all I can really offer in this case. Because even if I speak to him, I know what he's going to say. He's going to tell me, well, he's frustrated with the fact that we haven't gone to trial. And I'm going to say the same thing that I said before: I'm not going to go to trial if I don't have -- if I have not had

an opportunity to review all of the discovery. Because we're still receiving discovery in this case, or at least I had received discovery just a few weeks ago.

And so it's just been an ongoing process. And it's a lot of discovery that I have to listen to. And so there is no way that I can conceivably just proceed to trial, not knowing what's on some of those calls.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Settle, do you understand that if a new attorney is appointed, that new attorney is going to have to start from scratch and re-review or review all of the discovery in this case, which could delay your case even further? I just want to be sure you understand that. It's your choice.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand that a new attorney would have to be prepared, and in order to prepare that could conceivably mean that the attorney needs additional time in order to prepare?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I understand. And, I mean, not -- not to waste anybody's time here or anything like that, but, you know, I mean, quite frankly, ma'am, I've been incarcerated for almost a year and a half now, and I've been pushing my attorney to -- to go to trial since January 20th of last year. You know. And -- and, I mean, I -- like I said, I don't want to waste anybody's time. I know

everybody's time here is very valuable, and I respect everybody's time here in the Court.

I wouldn't -- I wouldn't be opposed to discussing some things with my attorney, but it's like he said, I mean, I've -- I've made several things very clear to him already, and I just, I mean, to be honest, I just feel like, you know, I've kind of been put on the back burner. And, you know, it's frustrating to me. I have children. You know, I have children that are -- they ask me when I'm coming home every time I talk to them. You know what I mean? And I've been locked up for quite a long time already, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Well, you're not wasting anybody's time. This is -- this is your life, it's your freedom that we're here on or that's at stake here.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So these are your decisions to make. Any questions I ask you are only designed to ensure that you understand all of the potential consequences so that you can make the most informed decision for yourself.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you've asked for a new attorney. As I understand it, part of the issue or part of the reason that you want a new attorney is because you haven't gone to trial yet and your attorney has asked for continuances in order to review additional evidence that's been produced. And I'm just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pointing out that a new attorney starting would have to review all of that evidence and might not be -- I'm looking here to see when your trial is set -- but that the new attorney would also need time to review everything that Mr. Eiland has already reviewed, and that it could result in further delay. I just want you to know that. Again, your choice. Your call. I just want to make sure that you've considered that possibility. Let's see. The case was set for trial back in February. Okay. It's set for trial on April 18th. Is that correct? THE DEFENDANT: My first time hearing that. MR. EILAND: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Biggs, is that your understanding of the trial setting? MR. BRIGGS: Yes, Your Honor. It's set for April 18th. THE COURT: All right. And attorneys, is this expected to go that day? MR. BRIGGS: The Government will be ready on that day, subject to whatever happens here and whatever sort of proceedings that may occur after this hearing. But we will be ready on April 18th. THE COURT: Okay. So that's 19 days away. How much discovery are we talking about? MR. BRIGGS: Your Honor, Mr. Eiland is correct when

he says that the Government continues to produce discovery.

The reason we continue to produce discovery is because the

Defendant continues to communicate with the victim and he's

generating discovery himself, to the tune of hours and hours

of jail calls. Mr. Eiland was not exaggerating that there are

hours of jail calls.

THE COURT: All right. And I know that you cannot give me an exact number. Can you give me an estimate of the amount of discovery that a new attorney would have to review? If we're talking hours and hours of jail calls, approximately how many hours?

MR. BRIGGS: Boy. Maybe twenty hours.

THE COURT: Okay. How about documentation? How much

MR. BRIGGS: It's not a document-heavy case. There is body-worn camera footage. There are some documents, in terms of cell phone records. There are recorded interviews, recorded statements. By and large, the heaviest lift is going to be the jail calls.

THE COURT: Okay. So, you have the facts before you, Mr. Settle. You've heard what's outstanding. You've got a trial date in 19 days. It could very well be very difficult for a new attorney to step in and be ready for trial on April 18th. I'm not saying it can't be done. I'm just saying it looks difficult from where I'm sitting.

Is the timing the only issue you've had with Mr. Eiland, or does it go beyond that? And you don't have to go into conversations. I just, I'm asking generally: Is it about not going to trial yet, or is it more?

THE DEFENDANT: I mean, that's part of it. There are some other things that -- there are some other issues as well. Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Well, now you have everything in front of you. What would you like to do? Do you still want a new attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Even though you understand that could push back your trial date even further?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Eiland, thank you for accepting this appointment. I know that you did not have to accept it. But you are hereby relieved of your duties of representation in this case, with the thanks of the Court for accepting an appointment.

MR. EILAND: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And I am going to appoint a new attorney to represent you. It'll take me a day or two to get somebody on the case. We'll try and move it as fast as we can. And Mr. Settle, then you'll have a new attorney.

Now, remember, you're entitled to effective representative

1	of counsel. You're not entitled to an attorney who's going to				
2	agree with your position.				
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.				
4	THE COURT: Your attorney has a duty not just to you				
5	but to the Court, and ethical obligations that must be				
6	fulfilled. So while I understand that you prefer to have				
7	another attorney, just remember you can't keep asking for a				
8	new attorney until you get one that agrees with you.				
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I understand.				
0	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else we should address,				
1	Mr. Eiland?				
2	MR. EILAND: Nothing from me, Your Honor.				
3	THE COURT: Mr. Briggs?				
4	MR. BRIGGS: No, thank you, Your Honor.				
5	THE COURT: Good luck to you, sir.				
6	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, ma'am.				
7	THE COURT: We are adjourned.				
8	THE CLERK: All rise.				
9	(Proceedings concluded at 10:45 a.m.)				
0	00				
1	CERTIFICATE				
2 3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.				
	/s/ Kathy Rehling 01/16/2023				
5	Kathy Rehling, CETD-444 Date Certified Electronic Court Transcriber				

Cas	3:21-cr-00054-N	Document 119	Filed 01/17/23	Page 12 of 12	PageID 459
					12
1			INDEX		
2	PROCEEDINGS				2
3	WITNESSES				
4	-none-				
5	EXHIBITS				
6	-none-				
7	RULINGS				10
8	END OF PROCEE	EDINGS			11
9	INDEX				12
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	I				